

2020-11-01

# Falls in People with Multiple Sclerosis

Coote, S

<http://hdl.handle.net/10026.1/16733>

---

10.7224/1537-2073.2020-014

International Journal of MS Care

Consortium of Multiple Sclerosis Centers

---

*All content in PEARL is protected by copyright law. Author manuscripts are made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the details provided on the item record or document. In the absence of an open licence (e.g. Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher or author.*

*This in-press manuscript has been peer reviewed and accepted for publication by the International Journal of MS Care and appears here in a pre-edited form, with obvious typographical errors corrected but before full editing and final author approval. Additional corrections and changes will appear in the article when it is published in a future print issue. Once published in an issue, it will be removed from the Online First section and appear in that issue's table of contents. Meanwhile, the manuscript is citable using the DOI, which appears on the first page.*

Falls in People with Multiple Sclerosis: Risk Identification, Intervention, and Future  
Directions

Susan Coote; Laura Comber; Gillian Quinn; Carme Santoyo-Medina; Alon Kalron; Hilary Gunn

From the School of Allied Health (SC, LC, GQ) and Health Research Institute (SC), University of Limerick, Ireland; MS Society, Ireland (SC); Physiotherapy Department, St James’s Hospital, Dublin, Ireland (GQ); Multiple Sclerosis Center of Catalonia (Cemcat), Vall d’Hebron University Hospital, Barcelona, Spain (CS-M); Department of Physical Therapy, School of Health Professions, Sackler Faculty of Medicine and The Sagol School of Neuroscience, Tel-Aviv University, Tel-Aviv, Israel (AK); and School of Health Professions, University of Plymouth, UK (HG). *Correspondence:* Susan Coote, PhD, School of Allied Health, Health Sciences Building, University of Limerick, Castletroy, Limerick, Ireland; e-mail: susan.coote.physio@gmail.com.

**Running head:** Falls in People with MS

DOI: 10.7224/1537-2073.2020-014

© 2020 Consortium of Multiple Sclerosis Centers.

*This in-press manuscript has been peer reviewed and accepted for publication by the International Journal of MS Care and appears here in a pre-edited form, with obvious typographical errors corrected but before full editing and final author approval. Additional corrections and changes will appear in the article when it is published in a future print issue. Once published in an issue, it will be removed from the Online First section and appear in that issue's table of contents. Meanwhile, the manuscript is citable using the DOI, which appears on the first page.*

**Practice Points**

- Falls are prevalent among people with MS and have significant negative consequences, clinicians should ask about them at all stages of the condition and refer for appropriate interventions in a timely manner.
- Falls are multifactorial and complex and there are many, varied, risk factors. The most reliable predictor of future falls is a history of falls.
- Interventions should target physiological risk (e.g. balance and strength impairments) and personal risk (e.g. fear of falling, matching physical ability to the task) and environmental factors (e.g. use of appropriate assistive devices).

*This in-press manuscript has been peer reviewed and accepted for publication by the International Journal of MS Care and appears here in a pre-edited form, with obvious typographical errors corrected but before full editing and final author approval. Additional corrections and changes will appear in the article when it is published in a future print issue. Once published in an issue, it will be removed from the Online First section and appear in that issue's table of contents. Meanwhile, the manuscript is citable using the DOI, which appears on the first page.*

**Abstract**

Falls are highly prevalent for people with Multiple Sclerosis (MS) and result in a range of negative consequences such as injury, activity curtailment, reduced quality of life, increased need for care and time off work. This narrative review aims to summarise key literature and to discuss future work needed in the area of falls prevention for people with MS. The incidence of falls is estimated to be over 50%; similar to the rate of falls in adults over 80 years. The consequences of falls are considerable as rate of injury is high, and fear of falling and low self-efficacy are significant problems that lead to activity curtailment. A wide range of physiological, personal and environmental factors have been highlighted as potential risk factors and predictors of falls. Falls are individual and multifactorial, and hence approaches to interventions will likely need to adopt a multi-factorial approach. However, the literature to date has largely focused on exercise-based interventions, with newer more comprehensive interventions using both education and exercise showing promising results. Several gaps remain in the topic of falls in MS and in particular the lack of standardisation of definitions and outcome measures to enable data pooling and comparison. In future, the involvement of people with MS in the design and evaluation of programmes is essential, as are approaches to intervention development that consider implementation from the outset.

*This in-press manuscript has been peer reviewed and accepted for publication by the International Journal of MS Care and appears here in a pre-edited form, with obvious typographical errors corrected but before full editing and final author approval. Additional corrections and changes will appear in the article when it is published in a future print issue. Once published in an issue, it will be removed from the Online First section and appear in that issue's table of contents. Meanwhile, the manuscript is citable using the DOI, which appears on the first page.*

The incidence of falls amongst people with MS is high, and the consequences of falls are far reaching for both the person and the healthcare system. This important topic has received increasing attention as researchers and health care professionals aim to identify the risk factors, context and consequences, and to use these data to develop theory-based interventions. This narrative review and position paper is written by members of the Special Interest Group on Mobility of the RIMS (European Network for best practice and research in MS) and aims to summarise the key literature in the area and to identify gaps in knowledge, challenges and ways forward.

### **Incidence of Falls**

Falls are common among people with MS with a large international data set demonstrating 56% fall at least once within a three-month period,<sup>1</sup> with 37% of individuals categorised as frequent fallers. Notably, people with MS fall more frequently, are more likely to suffer injurious falls, and have different fall circumstances compared to their healthy peers<sup>2</sup>. Over a 6-month study period, 71% of people with MS reported falling versus 41% of healthy controls, with the MS group more likely to attribute their falls to tripping and distraction. Fall rates in MS are similar to those of community dwelling stroke survivors (55%),<sup>3</sup> over 80-year-old older adults (50%)<sup>4</sup> and people with Parkinson's disease (46%).<sup>5</sup>

Interestingly, there is a non-linear association between falls and the level of neurologic disability with peaks in falls incidence occurring at EDSS 4.0 and 6.0,<sup>6</sup> with the highest rate of recurrent falls occurring in those who do not yet use a mobility device.<sup>7</sup> Falls are not limited to

*This in-press manuscript has been peer reviewed and accepted for publication by the International Journal of MS Care and appears here in a pre-edited form, with obvious typographical errors corrected but before full editing and final author approval. Additional corrections and changes will appear in the article when it is published in a future print issue. Once published in an issue, it will be removed from the Online First section and appear in that issue's table of contents. Meanwhile, the manuscript is citable using the DOI, which appears on the first page.*

people with MS who are ambulatory and whilst there is less research evaluating falls in people with more advanced MS, studies show that wheelchair and scooter users also have a high incidence of falls (75%).<sup>8</sup> People with progressive MS have a higher incidence of falls<sup>1</sup> and falls have been proposed as a critical incident that signifies a worsening of symptoms that requires clinical attention. Those restricting their activity and avoiding risky behaviours may have a lower falls rate, however this practice is not without consequences and is not a solution to falls prevention.<sup>9</sup>

A key consideration when considering the prevalence and incidence of falls is the way in which falls data are collected. There is a notable underestimation of number of falls by people with MS. Dibble et al<sup>10</sup> found that 6-month recall was 17% accurate and 63% underestimated the number of falls they had. This underestimation when retrospective recall is used is confirmed by Nilsagård et al.<sup>11</sup> who found that using retrospective recall 34% were fallers, but using prospective diaries, 63% were. This consistent underestimation of number of falls when using retrospective recall led to recommendations that falls data be collected prospectively using diary-based methods.<sup>12</sup> However, the poor correlation between diary and electronic fall logging with a button push<sup>13</sup> suggests that a truly objective method of falls recording is required to advance this field.

The definition of a faller is inconsistent in MS falls research. Some researchers in falls risk in MS define a faller as a person with 1 or more falls,<sup>11,14,15</sup> others define it as the occurrence of 2 or more falls<sup>16,17,18</sup> and still others classify a faller as a person with 3 or more falls.<sup>19</sup> Likewise, there are a wide range of fall definitions utilised; with some defining a fall as an unexpected event that results in the person ending up on the ground, floor, or any lower

*This in-press manuscript has been peer reviewed and accepted for publication by the International Journal of MS Care and appears here in a pre-edited form, with obvious typographical errors corrected but before full editing and final author approval. Additional corrections and changes will appear in the article when it is published in a future print issue. Once published in an issue, it will be removed from the Online First section and appear in that issue's table of contents. Meanwhile, the manuscript is citable using the DOI, which appears on the first page.*

surface,<sup>20-22</sup> others defining it as unintentionally coming to the ground or other lower level and other than a consequence of sustaining a violent blow, loss of consciousness, sudden onset of paralysis as in stroke or epileptic or seizure<sup>23</sup> and still others defining it ‘as any unexpected loss of balance that resulted in whole body contact with the ground.’<sup>24</sup> In some studies the fall definition used is not stated.<sup>25,26</sup> This heterogeneity in fall definition and classification limits comparison between studies and data pooling. One potential way to overcome these discrepancies in faller classifications utilised is to report falls data as falls rate per person-year. Falls rate per person-year can be calculated using the following formula<sup>27</sup> [falls rate = (total number of falls/total number of person-days [all participants]) multiplied by 365].

### Consequences of Falls

Falls can have significant physical, social and psychological consequences for the individual. In terms of physical impact, injurious falls among pwMS are common with rates of 0.18-0.23 per person per year.<sup>6,28</sup> The majority of injurious falls related injuries are relatively minor, for example sprains and contusions, however the risk of serious injury is pervasive with head injuries and fractures also reported by pwMS who have fallen.<sup>28,29</sup> A population based cohort study found that people with MS have a threefold higher risk of hip fracture than age and gender matched peers with a greater risk for those prescribed steroids in the previous six months.<sup>30</sup> Injurious falls can result in increased healthcare utilisation and decreased labour force productivity which both contribute to the high socio-economic cost associated with MS<sup>31,32</sup>. These high rates of injurious falls (42% to 58% - (<sup>2,19</sup>)) are much greater than those seen in the

*This in-press manuscript has been peer reviewed and accepted for publication by the International Journal of MS Care and appears here in a pre-edited form, with obvious typographical errors corrected but before full editing and final author approval. Additional corrections and changes will appear in the article when it is published in a future print issue. Once published in an issue, it will be removed from the Online First section and appear in that issue's table of contents. Meanwhile, the manuscript is citable using the DOI, which appears on the first page.*

elderly where rates of 23%<sup>33</sup> to 30%<sup>34</sup> have been reported and post stroke where rates of 10% have been reported.<sup>3</sup>

The psychological impact of falls presents predominantly as a high level of fear of falling and associated activity modification both in those who have<sup>35</sup> and who have not experienced an actual fall.<sup>36</sup> Fear of falling has multiple definitions that reflect a multidimensional construct with physiological, cognitive and behavioural components.<sup>37,38</sup> Based on a cross-sectional study, pwMS with elevated fear of falling are less likely to participate in leisure-time physical activities.<sup>39</sup> This behaviour might be explained as a protective mechanism. However, this protective response may result in some people with MS curtailing their activities inappropriately, resulting in further deconditioning and adversely affecting physical function and independence s.<sup>40</sup> This adverse response should be avoided especially due to the growing body of evidence highlighting the benefits of regular physical activity for MS.<sup>41</sup> Most concerning is that studies have consistently found that fallers have lower quality of life than non-fallers<sup>14,42</sup> Lower quality of life, in turn, has higher associated socio-economic costs, and contributes significantly to the intangible costs and burden for the person with MS related to issues around self-care, pain, anxiety and depression.<sup>32</sup> Nilsagard et al.<sup>43</sup> qualitatively explored the context and impact of accidental falls in pwMS, with participants describing falls as limiting, restrictive and embarrassing.

### **Factors Associated with and Predictive of Falls**



*This in-press manuscript has been peer reviewed and accepted for publication by the International Journal of MS Care and appears here in a pre-edited form, with obvious typographical errors corrected but before full editing and final author approval. Additional corrections and changes will appear in the article when it is published in a future print issue. Once published in an issue, it will be removed from the Online First section and appear in that issue's table of contents. Meanwhile, the manuscript is citable using the DOI, which appears on the first page.*

Understanding the factors associated with and predictive of falls can assist in the development of interventions, and also identify those in need of treatment. There are many varied falls risk factors highlighted in the literature confirming the complexity and individual nature of falls prevention. Broadly, falls risk can be considered in terms of physiological, personal and behavioural risk factors.

Unsurprisingly, the most frequently reported physiological risk is impaired balance. Two meta-analyses<sup>44,45</sup> which considered data from cross sectional and from prospective studies confirmed that balance is a risk factor for falls. In addition, other indicators of reduced postural control such as reduced walking speed, increased static postural sway while standing and the use of a mobility aid were also identified as falls risk factors in those reviews. These findings are accompanied by prospective cohort studies where reduced lower limb strength,<sup>16</sup> reactive stepping<sup>18</sup> and dual-task ability<sup>17</sup> were identified as risk factors for falls. Worth noting, although visual problems are often one of the first symptoms noticed by pwMS and good vision is essential for maintaining balance control, testing of known visual risk factors in other groups (such as edge contrast sensitivity) are not considered a predictor for falls in pwMS.<sup>46</sup> It is possible that other aspects of vision could be an issue for pwMS, however most risk factors studies in MS to date topic have not included specific visual tests. Nevertheless, visual function is integrated in the EDSS score and traditional balance tests such as the Berg Balance Scale (BBS) and the Physiological Profile Assessment (PPA). A prospective study with 100 participants found that *not* having a visual problem (via self-report) was associated with a greater falls risk.<sup>47</sup> This may indicate that those with visual problems are inherently more cautious and have a resulting lower risk of falls.

*This in-press manuscript has been peer reviewed and accepted for publication by the International Journal of MS Care and appears here in a pre-edited form, with obvious typographical errors corrected but before full editing and final author approval. Additional corrections and changes will appear in the article when it is published in a future print issue. Once published in an issue, it will be removed from the Online First section and appear in that issue's table of contents. Meanwhile, the manuscript is citable using the DOI, which appears on the first page.*

Interestingly, though balance is impaired in MS fallers, a recent review found that clinical balance measures in isolation are poor at identifying future fallers.<sup>48</sup> This suggests that other factors are also important when identifying those at risk of falling and when designing treatments for preventing falls. Other MS symptoms related to falls include fatigue,<sup>49</sup> cognitive impairment,<sup>50</sup> spasticity,<sup>11</sup> and urinary incontinence,<sup>22</sup> although, again the relationship may not be linear in nature. Similar to the literature in elderly populations, medications, in terms of quantity and class, are associated with higher falls rates in most studies published on this topic.<sup>51,52</sup> During the last decade a wide variety of disease modifying drugs (DMD's) have been introduced in MS. The challenge is to examine whether immunomodulatory drugs differ when examining falls in the MS community. This issue was partly addressed by Comber et al<sup>52</sup> who investigated the effect of medication usage on falls in MS. They reported that participants taking medications categorised as genitourinary and sex hormones or centrally acting muscle relaxant medications had increased odds of being a faller.

When considering personal factors associated with and predictive of falls, reduced balance confidence<sup>53</sup> and reduced falls self-efficacy<sup>54</sup> have been shown to be predictive of future falls in pwMS, with concerns about falling being associated with changes in postural control in fallers.<sup>55</sup> Other personal factors which have also been highlighted as contributing factors for falls in MS are unrealistic appraisal of ability, poor organisation/planning of activities, adjustment/replacement of activities and emotional adaption through awareness and acceptance of limitations.<sup>29,56</sup> Gunn et al examined physiological risk and perceived risk in 416 pwMS and found that approximately 50% of individuals had disparities between their physiological risk (using the Physiological Profile Assessment) and perceptual risk of falls (using the Falls Efficacy

*This in-press manuscript has been peer reviewed and accepted for publication by the International Journal of MS Care and appears here in a pre-edited form, with obvious typographical errors corrected but before full editing and final author approval. Additional corrections and changes will appear in the article when it is published in a future print issue. Once published in an issue, it will be removed from the Online First section and appear in that issue's table of contents. Meanwhile, the manuscript is citable using the DOI, which appears on the first page.*

Scale (international)), with the majority having a perceived perceptual risk greater than their physiological risk.<sup>57</sup> It is hypothesised that those with excessive perceptual risk may be at increased likelihood of fear of falling and associated activity curtailment, whereas those with excessive physiological risk may engage in risk-taking behaviours through an unrealistic appraisal of ability.<sup>58</sup>

Understanding the environmental context of falls will improve management of falls in MS. Studies suggest that falls most often occur inside the home, in the morning or afternoon and during general mobility without the execution of any other specific task.<sup>6,29,59</sup> It is likely that the circumstances associated with falls differ amongst those who are ambulant or wheelchair users with one study suggesting that most falls occur during transfers for wheelchair users.<sup>60</sup>

An additional method to uncover risk factors of falls in MS can be achieved by asking the individual what they attribute their falls to. According to Matsuda et al.,<sup>29</sup> pwMS attribute their falls to trips/slips, fatigue, failing to use an assistive device when needed, rushing and not paying attention. Similarly, Peterson et al<sup>61</sup> found that poor balance, lower extremity malfunction and use of assistive devices were the leading causes of falls. More recently, Gunn et al<sup>6</sup> reported that 1/3 of falls were associated with being feeling fatigued and/ or loss of balance, with tripping, legs giving way and being distracted each accounting for 10% of falls.

While cognitive impairment in isolation has been identified as an independent risk<sup>50</sup>, difficulty with dual tasking has also been shown to be associated with falls in people with MS.<sup>62,63</sup> Interestingly, those that prioritise cognitive tasks over walking are at greater risk of falling<sup>64</sup> which similarly has been found in other populations,<sup>65</sup> resulting in strategies such as balance first or slow down and concentrate.<sup>66</sup>

*This in-press manuscript has been peer reviewed and accepted for publication by the International Journal of MS Care and appears here in a pre-edited form, with obvious typographical errors corrected but before full editing and final author approval. Additional corrections and changes will appear in the article when it is published in a future print issue. Once published in an issue, it will be removed from the Online First section and appear in that issue's table of contents. Meanwhile, the manuscript is citable using the DOI, which appears on the first page.*

The proliferation of factors associated with and predictive of falling has led to several authors investigating multivariate risk prediction models using prospective study designs in order to identify those at future risk of falls, and hence treatment. Models included simple clinical variables such as the EDSS score, Ashworth score and fine motor control assessed with nine-hole peg test.<sup>67</sup> Some of these models require instrumented tools such as gait analysis devices, strength assessment using the Biodex Multipoint System and the Physiological Profile Assessment.<sup>16,19,68</sup> Regarding clinical utility these falls risk prediction models have varying levels of sensitivity and specificity ranging from 69% to 71 % and 70% to 88%, with 80% sensitivity regarded as an important cut-off level for falls screening tools.<sup>69</sup> Only two studies reported the area under the receiving operating characteristic curve (AUC); reporting values of 0.71<sup>19</sup> and 0.73<sup>16</sup> suggesting moderate and acceptable levels of discriminative ability as it is greater than 0.7.<sup>70</sup> Despite these many well designed multivariable studies, Cameron et al advocate identifying those at risk of future falls by simply asking about history of previous falls.<sup>49</sup> A fall in the past year was the best predictor of falls or injurious falls in the following 6 months. However, limitations of this approach are that predicting future falls based on previous falls requires the person to fall at least once (and therefore experience the negative consequences associated with this), pwMS with memory deficits might not report accurately. Asking a simple question also relates to the recent emphasis on patient reported outcomes; with a previous study demonstrating self-report measures having higher levels of discriminative ability than performance based measures<sup>22</sup> although they did not report corresponding measures of clinical utility.

*This in-press manuscript has been peer reviewed and accepted for publication by the International Journal of MS Care and appears here in a pre-edited form, with obvious typographical errors corrected but before full editing and final author approval. Additional corrections and changes will appear in the article when it is published in a future print issue. Once published in an issue, it will be removed from the Online First section and appear in that issue's table of contents. Meanwhile, the manuscript is citable using the DOI, which appears on the first page.*

Mazumder et al identified contextual differences in falls between middle aged pwMS and healthy controls.<sup>71</sup> The authors found that healthy adults most often fall outdoors whereas pwMS showed a higher rate of indoor falls. Additionally, healthy adults were more likely to report falls due to a slippery surface whereas pwMS were more likely to report falls due to a distraction or tripping, fatigue or excess heat. This suggests that when designing fall prevention programs for pwMS they need to address the unique risk factors for falling for this cohort.

### **Treatment**

Given the complexity in causes and risk factors of falls in MS, a multi factorial approach seems the most appropriate strategy, however much research to date considers exercise only. Two systematic reviews of interventions to reduce falls exist.<sup>72,73</sup> Sosnoff and Sung (2015) identified 10 studies, four were randomised controlled trials with a total of 524 participants. The increased focus on this topic resulted in 13 RCT's being included in the recently published Cochrane review.<sup>73</sup> In contrast, a systematic review of exercise interventions for falls prevention in older adults included 108 RCTs with 23,407 participants living in the community in 25 countries.<sup>74</sup> The majority of MS studies with exercise interventions included conventional balance, sensory-specific and game-based exercises. The majority of trials demonstrated a reduction in actual falls and/or fall risk, most often with a concurrent improvement in balance and/or mobility. Despite these encouraging findings, firm conclusions could not be drawn due to the heterogeneity in study designs, small sample sizes, lack of assessor blinding and limited use of prospective falls monitoring. The review authors highlight the need for additional knowledge

*This in-press manuscript has been peer reviewed and accepted for publication by the International Journal of MS Care and appears here in a pre-edited form, with obvious typographical errors corrected but before full editing and final author approval. Additional corrections and changes will appear in the article when it is published in a future print issue. Once published in an issue, it will be removed from the Online First section and appear in that issue's table of contents. Meanwhile, the manuscript is citable using the DOI, which appears on the first page.*

regarding risk factors for falls in pwMS and suggest implementation of targeted multifactorial interventions examining both physiological and behavioural risk, as advocated by the International Multiple Sclerosis Falls Prevention Research Network (IMSFPRN).<sup>75</sup>

A related review concerns interventions to improve balance among people with MS<sup>76</sup> which found that specificity is important (i.e. balance and functional exercises had the largest effect on balance) and that dose of intervention is related to outcome. Sherrington et al<sup>77</sup> suggest over 50 hours of intervention is required to improve balance, yet, to the best of our knowledge, no study in the MS field has examined an intervention program at this dose. The older adults' literature consistently finds that exercise interventions though effective, are more impactful as part of multiple component or multifactorial interventions.<sup>78</sup>

Unfortunately, the strong evidence for multifactorial and multiple component interventions in older fallers, are sparsely replicated in MS. To date, only four multifactorial interventions have been published in MS.<sup>26,79,80,81</sup> Hugos et al.<sup>80</sup> performed a retrospective evaluation of an existing exercise and education programme for falls prevention in pwMS using the 'Free from falls' for older adults programme with adaptations made for MS specific symptoms such as fatigue. However, the retrospective nature of the study and the lack of a control group, requires caution when interpreting these findings, which were a reduction in fall rates, improved balance performance and confidence. Sosnoff et al.<sup>82</sup> evaluated a multifactorial approach based on the "Safe at Home BAASE" programme.<sup>83</sup> Their pilot randomised controlled trial including 34 participants compared four groups; waitlist control, home exercise alone, education targeting behavioural risk and a combination of home exercise and education. The authors found a reduction in risk of falls and falls risk for the groups engaged in an exercise



*This in-press manuscript has been peer reviewed and accepted for publication by the International Journal of MS Care and appears here in a pre-edited form, with obvious typographical errors corrected but before full editing and final author approval. Additional corrections and changes will appear in the article when it is published in a future print issue. Once published in an issue, it will be removed from the Online First section and appear in that issue's table of contents. Meanwhile, the manuscript is citable using the DOI, which appears on the first page.*

component, although with mixed findings for the combined exercise and education arms.

Limitations included underpowered sample size and lack of prospective falls monitoring before intervention. Thus, further research examining multicomponent falls prevention interventions for pwMS is needed.

Interventions addressing personal and environmental factors associated with falls are lacking. For example, fear of falling and falls risk is not only associated with falls, but is an independent falls risk factor<sup>84,42,85</sup> yet to date it has had limited attention in interventions. The strong evidence from older person's literature and our increasing understanding of the role of psychological and environmental aspects of falls in MS suggests that future interventions should address both aspects and be tailored to the individual risk factors and physiological/psychological profile. Therefore, we encourage future trials to investigate the efficacy of adding supportive features (e.g. grab bars or handrails) in locations such as stairs and bathrooms in homes of pwMS. Additionally, future research should examine the impact of fall prevention programs that include education around the use and training of walking aids or increasing awareness of the outdoor environment and situations that might lead to falls. Other environmental harm minimization elements, such as fall monitoring devices or pressure sensors have also not been addressed to date. Another element is that of harm minimization through fracture prevention through routine preventative bone density assessment and intervention to improve bone loss if it presents.

Recent studies<sup>86,87</sup> have investigated the views and opinions of persons with MS in relation to what they would consider the optimal fall prevention program, highlighting their preference for practical, personalised interventions with peer interaction and ongoing support

*This in-press manuscript has been peer reviewed and accepted for publication by the International Journal of MS Care and appears here in a pre-edited form, with obvious typographical errors corrected but before full editing and final author approval. Additional corrections and changes will appear in the article when it is published in a future print issue. Once published in an issue, it will be removed from the Online First section and appear in that issue's table of contents. Meanwhile, the manuscript is citable using the DOI, which appears on the first page.*

(either within groups or by other media). Balance/strength exercises and fall prevention/management techniques might be included as well as services regarding mobility aids and home modification from trained professionals (for example occupational therapists and physiotherapists). Something remarkable is that pwMS recognise personal factors such as the competence of knowing and accepting their capacity to engage in activities are crucial in preventing falls.

### **Gaps in Knowledge**

In order to increase our understanding of falls in pwMS and hence their treatment, there are several gaps in knowledge that require attention. Balance impairment is associated with and predictive of falls, however, our understanding of what particular postural control deficits are associated with falls is limited.<sup>88,89</sup> Determining what postural control deficit or what element of balance (e.g. proprioceptive deficits, reduced strength, cognitive motor interference or reaction time) are most associated with falls will allow more targeted and tailored approaches and potentially increase efficacy of exercise interventions.

Additionally, our understanding of the protective versus predictive nature of these deficits and their contribution to falls is limited. For example, if an individual walks at a slower gait speed with a wider base of support this may be a compensatory mechanism to increase stability. Therefore, attempts to 'normalise' this pattern of gait, such as increasing speed, may be inherently disadvantageous to reducing fall risk. Similarly, if imbalance occurs due to fast walking speed, a reduction in gait speed may be seen as a positive outcome of intervention.



*This in-press manuscript has been peer reviewed and accepted for publication by the International Journal of MS Care and appears here in a pre-edited form, with obvious typographical errors corrected but before full editing and final author approval. Additional corrections and changes will appear in the article when it is published in a future print issue. Once published in an issue, it will be removed from the Online First section and appear in that issue's table of contents. Meanwhile, the manuscript is citable using the DOI, which appears on the first page.*

Longitudinal observational studies of clinical measures and posturography should be implemented with falls incidence assessed prospectively before and after assessment. Such investigations may enable more targeted rehabilitation strategies for falls prevention in pwMS.

An associated issue is the choice of balance measures used to establish fall risk. For example, people with MS fall while standing, turning and walking<sup>296</sup> yet many balance measures capture balance with feet in a static position. Posturography appears promising as a falls risk tool<sup>90</sup> however the static foot plate does not mirror the dynamic activities where falls occur and access to this in many clinics is limited with some systems requiring technical expertise. The use of sensor-based measures of balance during gait<sup>91</sup> may be an option worth pursuing as it would allow the collection of ecologically valid postural control data, potentially in remote settings.

When conducting studies to evaluate multicomponent risk prediction tools firstly the model needs to be developed, and it subsequently needs to be validated; none of the existing MS fall risk prediction tools have been validated to date. The predictive ability of a model often tends to be overestimated during the model development study, usually due to overfitting if the sample size is small and there are too few outcome events relative to the number of predictor variables.<sup>70</sup> External validation involves applying the model to a new cohort of individuals that were not involved in the model development study and assessing its predictive performance. Model impact studies are recommended after external validation to assess the impact on the change in behaviour of clinicians and also on cost effectiveness and on health outcomes<sup>92</sup>. When measuring the impact of a prediction model it is not enough to only include analysis of the traditional predictive values (e.g. sensitivity, specificity, AUC), but impact studies must also consider safety and efficiency.<sup>93</sup>

*This in-press manuscript has been peer reviewed and accepted for publication by the International Journal of MS Care and appears here in a pre-edited form, with obvious typographical errors corrected but before full editing and final author approval. Additional corrections and changes will appear in the article when it is published in a future print issue. Once published in an issue, it will be removed from the Online First section and appear in that issue's table of contents. Meanwhile, the manuscript is citable using the DOI, which appears on the first page.*

Arguably falls prevention interventions for people with MS are in their infancy, though the start is promising. One concern is the mismatch between the range of physiological and psychological risk factors and the predominance to date of exercise only interventions, however more recent interventions are acknowledging this and evaluate multiple component and multifactorial interventions. Intervention development is complex, and for this multifactorial, variable, and individualised problem the challenges will be many. Frameworks such as the Medical Research Council (MRC) development of complex interventions<sup>94</sup> may assist with this challenging process. An additional consideration as this field progresses is the need to articulate the theory behind the interventions; an aspect of intervention development that the rehabilitation field has previously been criticised for. It is essential that transparent dissemination of the development processes behind complex interventions occurs, and that theoretical underpinnings and mechanisms of intended action are clearly articulated so that the intervention is developed in line with best practice.<sup>95,96</sup>

It is likely that a range of interventions considering either group or individual treatment, using face to face or remote methods, and in hospital, outpatient and community settings, and a range of international healthcare and social contexts will be needed – one size certainly will not fit all for the issue of falls in MS.

### **Challenges**

One key challenge in pooling and comparing data is the lack of standardisation of fall definition, faller classification and falls outcomes to date. The use of frameworks such as

*This in-press manuscript has been peer reviewed and accepted for publication by the International Journal of MS Care and appears here in a pre-edited form, with obvious typographical errors corrected but before full editing and final author approval. Additional corrections and changes will appear in the article when it is published in a future print issue. Once published in an issue, it will be removed from the Online First section and appear in that issue's table of contents. Meanwhile, the manuscript is citable using the DOI, which appears on the first page.*

COMET<sup>97</sup> to develop and apply core outcome sets for MS falls interventions is recommended to overcome the current issue of multiple definitions, classifications and outcomes. Most concerning is the limited input to date from people with MS in deciding study outcomes and this requires attention in future work.

The issue of “dose” of intervention required to improve postural control is a challenge, and a fine balance between the optimal dose/duration and the practicalities involved in engaging with and delivering such a programme need to be considered. Evidence from a range of groups highlights sustained engagement as a major challenge to falls prevention interventions<sup>98</sup> and suggests that programmes need to be easy to access, easy to carry out and easy to embed into a person’s daily life for initial improvements to be sustained.<sup>99</sup> There are also significant resource implications in the provision of such interventions which may challenge existing models of healthcare. Supplementing face-to-face delivery with the provision of web-based resources, telephone or email contact to support engagement appears promising,<sup>100</sup> as do programmes that embed a supported self-management approach.<sup>10183</sup>

Perhaps the greatest challenge in reducing falls risk and hence falls, is variability. MS presentations and symptoms (and thus falls risk factors) vary significantly between people and over time: this necessitates flexible intervention programmes which can be individually targeted, and which support people to be able to self-assess their changing symptoms and adjust their programmes effectively on an ongoing basis. Additional variability in personal, environmental and social factors mean that programmes need to be adaptable and responsive to needs and circumstances. Such programmes require input from highly trained clinicians who are supported to utilise a wide range of skills; and present feasibility and sustainability issues for many models

*This in-press manuscript has been peer reviewed and accepted for publication by the International Journal of MS Care and appears here in a pre-edited form, with obvious typographical errors corrected but before full editing and final author approval. Additional corrections and changes will appear in the article when it is published in a future print issue. Once published in an issue, it will be removed from the Online First section and appear in that issue's table of contents. Meanwhile, the manuscript is citable using the DOI, which appears on the first page.*

of healthcare delivery. For researchers, this degree of variability is also a significant challenge, particularly in achieving the high degree of flexibility in programme provision whilst maintaining the degree of standardisation and intervention fidelity necessary to ensure methodological rigour in clinical trials. Collaboration, both nationally and internationally will be vital to recruiting sufficient numbers of people in order to undertake the large-scale studies which are essential to develop a robust evidence base, although the variety of health care settings internationally adds an additional challenge.

The introduction of wearable electronic technology worn on the body or embedded into mobile and portable solutions (e.g. smartphones, watches, etc) creates a new challenge for those investigating falls in pwMS. Although the potential of these devices to identify risk factors of falls is clear, their benefits are still to be verified. Importantly, these devices enable the research field to detect movement behaviour of pwMS outside the laboratory and/or clinical facilities. This opportunity might uncover new “real-life” risk factors that were not previously considered.

### **Conclusion and Way Forward**

It is probable that we cannot prevent all falls; however, our aim should be to prevent as many falls as possible. Falls that require medical attention are particularly burdensome for both the person and the healthcare system and might be prioritised, however even those minor falls may have a profound effect on well-being and on activity participation and therefore warrant intervention to prevent them.

*This in-press manuscript has been peer reviewed and accepted for publication by the International Journal of MS Care and appears here in a pre-edited form, with obvious typographical errors corrected but before full editing and final author approval. Additional corrections and changes will appear in the article when it is published in a future print issue. Once published in an issue, it will be removed from the Online First section and appear in that issue's table of contents. Meanwhile, the manuscript is citable using the DOI, which appears on the first page.*

Falls are common and have a wide range of negative impacts. Research to date suggest that there are a variety of physiological, personal and environmental factors that contribute to falls for people with MS; a better understanding of those will lead to improved risk prediction tools and interventions for this cohort. Interventions to date have largely comprised exercise only interventions: These show promise, and suggest that challenging, functional balance programmes targeting individual risk factors, which are structured to support people to engage at a high intensity over a long duration are most likely to be effective. However, large-scale effectiveness trials are urgently required to determine the key components which should form the basis of MS falls exercise interventions, regardless of delivery method or healthcare setting.

Given the range of issues contributing to falls in MS, future interventions should consider other aspects of falls risk, particularly the psychological and MS specific risk factors. This also necessitates a recognition that not all risk factors will be modifiable, and that people will often choose to accept a degree of risk in order to maintain their participation in daily activities. Alongside assessing and optimising modifiable individual falls risk factors, programmes need to support people to develop effective, realistic strategies to manage falls risk whilst maintaining engagement, to recognise when changes to their strategies are required and to access further support as necessary. As in other groups, perhaps the focus of programmes should move away from emphasising falls reduction and instead move towards approaches which aim to maximise 'safe mobility'.

The way forward is undoubtedly through collaboration; nationally, internationally, across disciplines and with people with MS. A better understanding of this complex, individual and

*This in-press manuscript has been peer reviewed and accepted for publication by the International Journal of MS Care and appears here in a pre-edited form, with obvious typographical errors corrected but before full editing and final author approval. Additional corrections and changes will appear in the article when it is published in a future print issue. Once published in an issue, it will be removed from the Online First section and appear in that issue's table of contents. Meanwhile, the manuscript is citable using the DOI, which appears on the first page.*

multifactorial issue will assist in designing, evaluating and implementing interventions to prevent or reduce falls for people with MS.

**Financial Disclosures:** The authors declare no conflicts of interest relating to this paper.

**References**

1. Nilsagard Y, Gunn H, Freeman J, et al. Falls in people with MS-an individual data meta-analysis from studies from Australia, Sweden, United Kingdom and the United States. *Mult Scler.* 2014;21(1):1-9. doi:10.1177/1352458514538884
2. Mazumder R, Murchison C, Bourdette D, Cameron M. Falls in People with Multiple Sclerosis Compared with Falls in Healthy Controls. 2014. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0107620
3. Ashburn A, Hyndman D, Pickering R, Yardley L, Harris S. Predicting people with stroke at risk of falls. *Age Ageing.* 2008;37(3):270-276. doi:10.1093/ageing/afn066
4. O'Loughlin JL, Robitaille Y, Boivin J-F, Suissa S. Incidence of and Risk Factors for Falls and Injurious Falls among the Community-dwelling Elderly. *Am J Epidemiol.* 1993;137(3):342-354. doi:10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a116681
5. Pickering RM, Grimbergen YAM, Rigney U, et al. A meta-analysis of six prospective

*This in-press manuscript has been peer reviewed and accepted for publication by the International Journal of MS Care and appears here in a pre-edited form, with obvious typographical errors corrected but before full editing and final author approval. Additional corrections and changes will appear in the article when it is published in a future print issue. Once published in an issue, it will be removed from the Online First section and appear in that issue's table of contents. Meanwhile, the manuscript is citable using the DOI, which appears on the first page.*

- studies of falling in Parkinson's disease. *Mov Disord.* 2007;22(13):1892-1900.  
doi:10.1002/mds.21598
6. Gunn H, Creanor S, Haas B, Marsden J, Freeman J. Frequency, characteristics, and consequences of falls in multiple sclerosis: Findings from a cohort study. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2014. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2013.08.244
  7. Coote S, Finlayson M, Sosnoff JJ. Level of mobility limitations and falls status in persons with multiple sclerosis. In: *Archives Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*. Vol 95. W.B. Saunders; 2014:862-866. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2013.10.018
  8. Rice L, Kalron A, Berkowitz SH, Backus D, Sosnoff JJ. Fall prevalence in people with multiple sclerosis who use wheelchairs and scooters. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2017;96(35):e7860. doi:10.1097/MD.00000000000007860
  9. Matsuda PN, Shumway-Cook A, Ciol MA, Bombardier CH, Kartin DA. Understanding Falls in Multiple Sclerosis: Association of Mobility Status, Concerns About Falling, and Accumulated Impairments. *Phys Ther.* 2012;92(3):407-415. doi:10.2522/ptj.20100380
  10. Dibble LELLE, Lopez-Lennon C, Lake W, Hoffmeister C, Gappmaier E, Dibble LELLE. Utility of Disease-Specific Measures and Clinical Balance Tests in Prediction of Falls in Persons With Multiple Sclerosis. *JNPT.* 2013;00(3):1-6.  
doi:10.1097/NPT.0b013e3182a18460
  11. Nilsagård Y, Lundholm C, Denison E, Gunnarsson L-G. Predicting accidental falls in people with multiple sclerosis -- a longitudinal study. *Clin Rehabil.* 2009.  
doi:10.1177/0269215508095087
  12. Coote S, Sosnoff JJ, Gunn H. Fall incidence as the primary outcome in multiple sclerosis



*This in-press manuscript has been peer reviewed and accepted for publication by the International Journal of MS Care and appears here in a pre-edited form, with obvious typographical errors corrected but before full editing and final author approval. Additional corrections and changes will appear in the article when it is published in a future print issue. Once published in an issue, it will be removed from the Online First section and appear in that issue's table of contents. Meanwhile, the manuscript is citable using the DOI, which appears on the first page.*

- falls-prevention trials: Recommendation from the International MS Falls Prevention Research Network. *Int J MS Care*. 2014;16:178-184. doi:10.7224/1537-2073.2014-059
13. Chowdhury N, Hildebrand A, Folsom J, Jacobs P, Cameron M. Are “Gold Standard” Prospective Daily Self-Report Fall Calendars Accurate? A Comparison with a Real-Time Body-Worn Self-Report Device in Multiple Sclerosis. *Neurology*. 2018;90(15 (Supplement)). [http://n.neurology.org/content/90/15\\_Supplement/P4.404](http://n.neurology.org/content/90/15_Supplement/P4.404).
  14. Coote S, Hogan N, Franklin S. Falls in people with MS who use a walking aid: Prevalence, factors and effect of balance and strengthening interventions. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2013;94(4):616-621. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2012.10.020
  15. Ytterberg C, Einarsson U, Holmqvist LW, Peterson EW. A population-based study of fall risk factors among people with multiple sclerosis in Stockholm county. *J Rehabil Med*. 2013;45(5):452-457. doi:10.2340/16501977-1129
  16. Gunn H, Creanor S, Haas B, Marsden JF, Freeman J. Risk factors for falls in Multiple Sclerosis: an Observational Study. *Mult Scler*. 2013;19(14):1913-1922. doi:10.1177/1352458513488233
  17. Kalron A, Allali G. Gait and cognitive impairments in multiple sclerosis: the specific contribution of falls and fear of falling. *J Neural Transm*. 2017;(July). doi:10.1007/s00702-017-1765-0
  18. Hoang PD, Baysan M, Gunn H, et al. Fall risk in people with MS: A Physiological Profile Assessment study. *Mult Scler J - Exp Transl Clin*. 2016;2(0):1898-1912. doi:10.1177/2055217316641130
  19. Hoang PD, Cameron MH, Gandevia SC, Lord SR. Neuropsychological, balance and



*This in-press manuscript has been peer reviewed and accepted for publication by the International Journal of MS Care and appears here in a pre-edited form, with obvious typographical errors corrected but before full editing and final author approval. Additional corrections and changes will appear in the article when it is published in a future print issue. Once published in an issue, it will be removed from the Online First section and appear in that issue's table of contents. Meanwhile, the manuscript is citable using the DOI, which appears on the first page.*

- mobility risk factors for falls in people with multiple sclerosis: a prospective cohort study. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2013;95(3):480-486. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2013.09.017
20. Cameron MH, Asano M, Bourdette D, Finlayson ML. People with multiple sclerosis use many fall prevention strategies but still fall frequently. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2013. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2013.01.021
  21. Forsberg A, von Koch L, Nilsagård Y. Effects on Balance and Walking with the CoDuSe Balance Exercise Program in People with Multiple Sclerosis: A Multicenter Randomized Controlled Trial. *Mult Scler Int.* 2016;2016:1-10. doi:10.1155/2016/7076265
  22. Tajali S, Shaterzadeh-Yazdi M-J, Negahban H, et al. Predicting Falls Among Patients With Multiple Sclerosis: Comparison of Patient-Reported Outcomes and Performance-Based Measures of Lower Extremity Functions. *Mult Scler Relat Disord.* 2017. doi:10.1016/j.msard.2017.06.014
  23. Hoang P, Schoene D, Gandevia S, Smith S, Lord SR. Effects of a home-based step training programme on balance, stepping, cognition and functional performance in people with multiple sclerosis – a randomized controlled trial. *Mult Scler.* 2016;22(1):94-103. doi:10.1177/1352458515579442
  24. Kasser SL, Jacobs J V. Understanding and Treating Balance Impairment in Multiple Sclerosis. *JCOM.* 2014;21(9):419-432.
  25. Jacobs J V, Kasser SL. Effects of dual tasking on the postural performance of people with and without multiple sclerosis: a pilot study. *J Neurol.* 2012;259(6):1166-1176. doi:10.1007/s00415-011-6321-5
  26. Sosnoff JJ, Moon Y, Wajda DA, et al. Fall risk and incidence reduction in high risk

*This in-press manuscript has been peer reviewed and accepted for publication by the International Journal of MS Care and appears here in a pre-edited form, with obvious typographical errors corrected but before full editing and final author approval. Additional corrections and changes will appear in the article when it is published in a future print issue. Once published in an issue, it will be removed from the Online First section and appear in that issue's table of contents. Meanwhile, the manuscript is citable using the DOI, which appears on the first page.*

- individuals with multiple sclerosis: A pilot randomized control trial. *Clin Rehabil.* 2014;29(10):952-960. doi:10.1177/0269215514564899
27. Ibrahim M, Alexander L, Shy C, Farr S. *ERIC Notebook: Calculating Person-Time.* Durham, N Carolina; 2000.
28. Peterson EW, Cho CC, von Koch L, Finlayson ML. Injurious Falls Among Middle Aged and Older Adults With Multiple Sclerosis. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2008. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2007.10.043
29. Matsuda PN, Shumway-Cook A, Bamer AM, Johnson SL, Amtmann D, Kraft GH. Falls in Multiple Sclerosis. *PM R.* 2011;3(7):624-632. doi:10.1016/j.pmrj.2011.04.015
30. Bazelier MT, Van Staa T, Uitdehaag BMJ, et al. The risk of fracture in patients with multiple sclerosis: The UK general practice research. *J Bone Miner Res.* 2011;26(9):2271-2279. doi:10.1002/jbmr.418
31. Kobelt G, Berg J, Lindgren P, Kerrigan J, Russell N, Nixon R. Costs and quality of life of multiple sclerosis in the United Kingdom. *Eur J Health Econ.* 2006;7 Suppl 2:S96--104. doi:10.1007/s10198-006-0380-z
32. Carney P, O'Boyle D, Larkin A, McGuigan C, O'Rourke K. Societal costs of multiple sclerosis in Ireland. *J Med Econ.* 2018;21(5):425-437. doi:10.1080/13696998.2018.1427100
33. Welmer A-K, Rizzuto D, Laukka EJ, Johnell K, Fratiglioni L. Cognitive and Physical Function in Relation to the Risk of Injurious Falls in Older Adults: A Population-Based Study. *Journals Gerontol Ser A Biol Sci Med Sci.* July 2016:glw141. doi:10.1093/gerona/141

*This in-press manuscript has been peer reviewed and accepted for publication by the International Journal of MS Care and appears here in a pre-edited form, with obvious typographical errors corrected but before full editing and final author approval. Additional corrections and changes will appear in the article when it is published in a future print issue. Once published in an issue, it will be removed from the Online First section and appear in that issue's table of contents. Meanwhile, the manuscript is citable using the DOI, which appears on the first page.*

34. Pohl P, Nordin E, Lundquist A, Bergström U, Lundin-Olsson L. Community-dwelling older people with an injurious fall are likely to sustain new injurious falls within 5 years - A prospective long-term follow-up study. *BMC Geriatr.* 2014;14(1). doi:10.1186/1471-2318-14-120
35. Comber L, Coote S, Finlayson M, Galvin R, Quinn G, Peterson E. An exploration of fall-related, psychosocial variables in people with multiple sclerosis who have fallen. *Br J Occup Ther.* 2017;80(10):030802261772549. doi:10.1177/0308022617725492
36. Peterson EW, Cho CC, Finlayson ML. Fear of falling and associated activity curtailment among middle aged and older adults with multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler.* 2007;13(9):1168-1175. doi:10.1177/1352458507079260
37. Bisson EJ, Peterson EW, Finlayson M. Delayed Initial Recovery and Long Lie after a Fall among Middle-Aged and Older People with Multiple Sclerosis. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2015;96(8):1499-1505. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2015.04.012
38. Hadjistavropoulos T, Delbaere K, Fitzgerald TD. Reconceptualizing the role of fear of falling and balance confidence in fall risk. *J Aging Health.* 2011;23(1):3-23. doi:10.1177/0898264310378039
39. Kalron A, Aloni R, Givon U, Menascu S. Fear of falling, not falls, impacts leisure-time physical activity in people with multiple sclerosis. *Gait Posture.* 2018;65(June):33-38. doi:10.1016/j.gaitpost.2018.06.174
40. Delbaere K, Close JCT, Heim J, et al. A Multifactorial Approach to Understanding Fall Risk in Older People. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 58(9):1679-1685. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.2010.03017.x

*This in-press manuscript has been peer reviewed and accepted for publication by the International Journal of MS Care and appears here in a pre-edited form, with obvious typographical errors corrected but before full editing and final author approval. Additional corrections and changes will appear in the article when it is published in a future print issue. Once published in an issue, it will be removed from the Online First section and appear in that issue's table of contents. Meanwhile, the manuscript is citable using the DOI, which appears on the first page.*

41. Motl RW, Sandroff BM, Kwakkel G, et al. Exercise in patients with multiple sclerosis. *Lancet Neurol.* 2017;16(10):848-856. doi:10.1016/S1474-4422(17)30281-8
42. Vister E, Tijmsa ME, Hoang PD, Lord SR. Fatigue, Physical Activity, Quality of Life, and Fall Risk in People with Multiple Sclerosis. *Int J MS Care.* 2017;19(2):91-98. doi:10.7224/1537-2073.2015-077
43. Nilsagård Y, Denison E, Gunnarsson L-G, et al. Factors perceived as being related to accidental falls by persons with multiple sclerosis. *Disabil Rehabil.* 2009;31(16):1301-1310. doi:10.1080/09638280802532639
44. Gunn HJ, Newell P, Haas B, Marsden JF, Freeman J a. Identification of risk factors for falls in multiple sclerosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Phys Ther.* 2013. doi:10.2522/ptj.20120231
45. Giannì C, Prosperini L, Jonsdottir J, Cattaneo D. A systematic review of factors associated with accidental falls in people with multiple sclerosis: a meta-analytic approach. *Clin Rehabil.* February 2014. doi:10.1177/0269215513517575
46. Lord SR, Menz HB, Tiedemann A. A Physiological Profile Approach to Falls Risk Assessment and Prevention. *Phys Ther.* 2003;83(3):237-252. <http://ptjournal.apta.org/cgi/content/abstract/83/3/237>.
47. Quinn G, Galvin R, Coote SB. Development of a falls risk prediction tool for use with people with multiple sclerosis. 2019. <http://hdl.handle.net/10344/8317>.
48. Quinn G, Comber L, Galvin R, Coote S. The ability of clinical balance measures to identify falls risk in multiple sclerosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Rehabil.* 2018;32(5). doi:10.1177/0269215517748714

*This in-press manuscript has been peer reviewed and accepted for publication by the International Journal of MS Care and appears here in a pre-edited form, with obvious typographical errors corrected but before full editing and final author approval. Additional corrections and changes will appear in the article when it is published in a future print issue. Once published in an issue, it will be removed from the Online First section and appear in that issue's table of contents. Meanwhile, the manuscript is citable using the DOI, which appears on the first page.*

49. Cameron MH, Thielman E, Mazumder R, Bourdette D. Predicting falls in people with multiple sclerosis: fall history is as accurate as more complex measures. *Mult Scler Int.* 2013;Sept 26(online article). doi:10.1155/2013/496325
50. D'Orio VL, Foley FW, Armentano F, Picone MA, Kim S, Holtzer R. Cognitive and motor functioning in patients with multiple sclerosis: Neuropsychological predictors of walking speed and falls. *J Neurol Sci.* 2012. doi:10.1016/j.jns.2012.02.003
51. Cameron MH, Kartsens L, Hoang P, Bourdette D, Lord S. Medications Are Associated with Falls in People with MS: A Prospective Cohort Study. *Int J MS Care.* 2015;17:207-214. doi:10.7224/1537-2073.2014-076
52. Comber L, Quinn G, McGuigan C, Galvin R, Coote S. Medication usage and falls in people with multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler.* 2018;24(7). doi:10.1177/1352458517731912
53. Kalron A. The relationship between specific cognitive domains, fear of falling, and falls in people with multiple sclerosis. *Biomed Res Int.* January 2014:In print. doi:10.1155/2014/281760
54. Jacobs J V, Kasser SL. Balance impairment in people with multiple sclerosis: preliminary evidence for the Balance Evaluation Systems Test. *Gait Posture.* 2012;36(3):414-418. doi:10.1016/j.gaitpost.2012.03.026
55. Kalron A, Achiron A. Postural control, falls and fear of falling in people with multiple sclerosis without mobility aids. *J Neurol Sci.* September 2013. doi:10.1016/j.jns.2013.09.029
56. Finlayson ML, Peterson EW, Cho CC. Risk factors for falling among people aged 45 to 90 years with multiple sclerosis. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2006;87(9):1274-1279.

*This in-press manuscript has been peer reviewed and accepted for publication by the International Journal of MS Care and appears here in a pre-edited form, with obvious typographical errors corrected but before full editing and final author approval. Additional corrections and changes will appear in the article when it is published in a future print issue. Once published in an issue, it will be removed from the Online First section and appear in that issue's table of contents. Meanwhile, the manuscript is citable using the DOI, which appears on the first page.*

doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2006.06.002

57. Gunn H, Cameron M, Hoang P, Lord S, Shaw S, Freeman J. The relationship between physiological and perceived fall risk in people with multiple sclerosis: Implications for assessment and management. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2018;99(10):2022-2029.  
doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2018.03.019
58. Delbaere K, Close JCT, Brodaty H, Sachdev P, Lord SR. Determinants of disparities between perceived and physiological risk of falling among elderly people: cohort study. *BMJ.* 2010;341:c4165. doi:10.1136/bmj.c4165
59. Carling A, Nilsagård Y, Forsberg A. Making it work: experience of living with a person who falls due to multiple sclerosis. *Disabil Rehabil.* 2018;0(0):1-8.  
doi:10.1080/09638288.2018.1514078
60. Sung JH, Trace Y, Peterson EW, Sosnoff JJ, Rice LA. Falls among full-time wheelchair users with spinal cord injury and multiple sclerosis: a comparison of characteristics of fallers and circumstances of falls. *Disabil Rehabil.* 2019;41(4):389-395.  
doi:10.1080/09638288.2017.1393111
61. Peterson EW, Ben Ari E, Asano M, Finlayson ML. Fall attributions among middle-aged and older adults with multiple sclerosis. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2013.  
doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2012.11.027
62. Wajda D a, Motl RW, Sosnoff JJ. Dual task cost of walking is related to fall risk in persons with multiple sclerosis. *J Neurol Sci.* 2013;335(1-2):160-163.  
doi:10.1016/j.jns.2013.09.021
63. Etemadi Y. Dual task cost of cognition is related to fall risk in patients with multiple



*This in-press manuscript has been peer reviewed and accepted for publication by the International Journal of MS Care and appears here in a pre-edited form, with obvious typographical errors corrected but before full editing and final author approval. Additional corrections and changes will appear in the article when it is published in a future print issue. Once published in an issue, it will be removed from the Online First section and appear in that issue's table of contents. Meanwhile, the manuscript is citable using the DOI, which appears on the first page.*

- sclerosis: A prospective study. *Clin Rehabil.* 2017;31(2):278-284.  
doi:10.1177/0269215516637201
64. Quinn G, Comber L, O' Malley N, McGuigan C, Galvin R, Coote S. An Exploration of Falls and Dual Tasking. *Top Geriatr Rehabil.* 2019;35(3):190-198.  
doi:10.1097/TGR.0000000000000234
65. Plummer P, Eskes G, Wallace S, et al. Cognitive-motor interference during functional mobility after stroke: State of the science and implications for future research. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2013;94(12). doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2013.08.002
66. Stack EL, Roberts HC. Slow down and concentrate: Time for a paradigm shift in fall prevention among people with Parkinson's disease? *Parkinsons Dis.* 2013.  
doi:10.1155/2013/704237
67. Kasser SL, Jacobs J V, Foley JT, Cardinal BJ, Maddalozzo GF. A prospective evaluation of balance, gait, and strength to predict falling in women with multiple sclerosis. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2011;92(11):1840-1846. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2011.06.004
68. Kasser SL, Jacobs J V., Foley JT, Cardinal BJ, Maddalozzo GF. A prospective evaluation of balance, gait, and strength to predict falling in women with multiple sclerosis. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2011;92(11):1840-1846. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2011.06.004
69. Riddle DL, Stratford PW. Interpreting Validity Indexes for Diagnostic Tests: An Illustration Using the Berg Balance Test. *Phys Ther.* 1999;79(10):939-948.  
doi:10.1093/ptj/79.10.939
70. Moons KGM, Altman DG, Reitsma JB, et al. Transparent reporting of a multivariable prediction model for individual prognosis or diagnosis (TRIPOD): Explanation and

*This in-press manuscript has been peer reviewed and accepted for publication by the International Journal of MS Care and appears here in a pre-edited form, with obvious typographical errors corrected but before full editing and final author approval. Additional corrections and changes will appear in the article when it is published in a future print issue. Once published in an issue, it will be removed from the Online First section and appear in that issue's table of contents. Meanwhile, the manuscript is citable using the DOI, which appears on the first page.*

- elaboration. *Ann Intern Med.* 2015;162(1):W1-W73. doi:10.7326/M14-0698
71. Mazumder R, Murchison C, Bourdette D, Cameron M. Falls in people with multiple sclerosis compared with falls in healthy controls. *PLoS One.* 2014;9(9):e107620. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0107620
72. Sosnoff JJ, Sung J. Reducing falls and improving mobility in multiple sclerosis. *Expert Rev Neurother.* 2015;15(06):1-12. doi:10.1586/14737175.2015.1046377
73. Hayes S, Galvin R, Kennedy C, et al. Interventions for preventing falls in people with multiple sclerosis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2019;2019(11). doi:10.1002/14651858.CD012475.pub2
74. Sherrington C, Fairhall NJ, Wallbank GK, et al. Exercise for preventing falls in older people living in the community. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2019;(1). doi:10.1002/14651858.CD012424.pub2
75. Ploughman M, Deshpande N, Latimer-cheung AE, Centre LAM. Drawing on Related Knowledge to Advance Multiple Sclerosis Falls Prevention Research. *Int J MS Care.* 2014;16:163-170. doi:10.7224/1537-2073.2014-052
76. Gunn H, Markevics S, Haas B, Marsden J, Freeman J. Systematic Review: The Effectiveness of Interventions to Reduce Falls and Improve Balance in Adults With Multiple Sclerosis. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2015;96(10):1898-1912. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2015.05.018
77. Sherrington C, Whitney JC, Lord SR, Rober DH, Rober GC, Close JCT. Effective Exercise for the Prevention of Falls: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2008;56(12):2234-2243. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1532->



*This in-press manuscript has been peer reviewed and accepted for publication by the International Journal of MS Care and appears here in a pre-edited form, with obvious typographical errors corrected but before full editing and final author approval. Additional corrections and changes will appear in the article when it is published in a future print issue. Once published in an issue, it will be removed from the Online First section and appear in that issue's table of contents. Meanwhile, the manuscript is citable using the DOI, which appears on the first page.*

5415.2008.02014.x.

78. Hopewell S, Adedire O, Copsey BJ, et al. Multifactorial and multiple component interventions for preventing falls in older people living in the community. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2018;(7). doi:10.1002/14651858.CD012221.pub2
79. Sosnoff JJ, Finlayson M, McAuley E, Morrison S, Motl RW. Home-based exercise program and fall-risk reduction in older adults with multiple sclerosis: phase 1 randomized controlled trial. *Clin Rehabil.* 2014;28(3):254-263. doi:10.1177/0269215513501092
80. Hugos CL, Frankel D, Tompkins SA, Cameron M. Community Delivery of a Comprehensive Fall-Prevention Program in People with Multiple Sclerosis: A Retrospective Observational Study. *Int J MS Care.* 2016;18(1):42-48. doi:10.7224/1537-2073.2014-086
81. Gunn H, Andrade J, Paul L, et al. Balance Right in Multiple Sclerosis (BRiMS): Preliminary results of a randomised controlled feasibility trial. *Mult Scler J.* 2018;24(6):870.  
[http://apps.webofknowledge.com/InboundService.do?customersID=LinksAMR&mode=FullRecord&IsProductCode=Yes&product=WOS&Init=Yes&Func=Frame&DestFail=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.webofknowledge.com&action=retrieve&SrcApp=PARTNER\\_APP&SrcAuth=LinksAMR&SID=F35gzrpHrFIVkQSi4s](http://apps.webofknowledge.com/InboundService.do?customersID=LinksAMR&mode=FullRecord&IsProductCode=Yes&product=WOS&Init=Yes&Func=Frame&DestFail=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.webofknowledge.com&action=retrieve&SrcApp=PARTNER_APP&SrcAuth=LinksAMR&SID=F35gzrpHrFIVkQSi4s).
82. Sosnoff JJ, Moon Y, Wajda DA, et al. Fall risk and incidence reduction in high risk individuals with multiple sclerosis: a pilot randomized control trial. *Clin Rehabil.* 2015;29(10):952-960. doi:10.1177/0269215514564899
83. Finlayson M, Peterson EW, Cho C. Pilot study of a fall risk management program for

*This in-press manuscript has been peer reviewed and accepted for publication by the International Journal of MS Care and appears here in a pre-edited form, with obvious typographical errors corrected but before full editing and final author approval. Additional corrections and changes will appear in the article when it is published in a future print issue. Once published in an issue, it will be removed from the Online First section and appear in that issue's table of contents. Meanwhile, the manuscript is citable using the DOI, which appears on the first page.*

- middle aged and older adults with MS. *NeuroRehabilitation*. 2009. doi:10.3233/NRE-2009-0505
84. Kalron A, Allali G. Gait and cognitive impairments in multiple sclerosis: the specific contribution of falls and fear of falling. *J Neural Transm*. 2017;124(11):1407-1416. doi:10.1007/s00702-017-1765-0
85. HersHKovitz L, Malcay O, Grinberg Y, Berkowitz S, Kalron A. The contribution of the instrumented Timed-Up-and-Go test to detect falls and fear of falling in people with multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler Relat Disord*. 2019;27:226-231. doi:10.1016/j.msard.2018.10.111
86. Gunn H, Andrade J, Paul L, et al. Balance Right in Multiple Sclerosis (BRiMS): a guided self-management programme to reduce falls and improve quality of life, balance and mobility in people with secondary progressive multiple sclerosis: a protocol for a feasibility randomised controlled t. *Pilot Feasibility Stud*. 2017;4(1):26. doi:10.1186/s40814-017-0168-1
87. Kesgin F, Suddick K, Heesen C, Wright J. Developing a fall prevention program: what are the views and opinions of people with multiple sclerosis? *Disabil Rehabil*. August 2019;1-9. doi:10.1080/09638288.2019.1652700
88. Comber L, Galvin R, Coote S. Gait deficits in people with multiple sclerosis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Gait Posture*. 2017;51:25-35. doi:10.1016/j.gaitpost.2016.09.026
89. Comber L, Sosnoff JJ, Galvin R, Coote S. Postural control deficits in people with Multiple Sclerosis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Gait Posture*. 2018;61.

*This in-press manuscript has been peer reviewed and accepted for publication by the International Journal of MS Care and appears here in a pre-edited form, with obvious typographical errors corrected but before full editing and final author approval. Additional corrections and changes will appear in the article when it is published in a future print issue. Once published in an issue, it will be removed from the Online First section and appear in that issue's table of contents. Meanwhile, the manuscript is citable using the DOI, which appears on the first page.*

doi:10.1016/j.gaitpost.2018.02.018

90. Prosperini L, Fortuna D, Giannì C, Leonardi L, Pozzilli C. The diagnostic accuracy of static posturography in predicting accidental falls in people with multiple sclerosis. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair*. 2013;27(1):45-52. doi:10.1177/1545968312445638
91. Sun R, Sosnoff JJ. Novel sensing technology in fall risk assessment in older adults: A systematic review. *BMC Geriatr*. 2018;18(1):14. doi:10.1186/s12877-018-0706-6
92. Moons KGM, Kengne AP, Grobbee DE, et al. Risk prediction models: II. External validation, model updating, and impact assessment. *Heart*. 2012;98(9):691-698. doi:10.1136/heartjnl-2011-301247
93. Reilly BM, Evans AT. Translating clinical research into clinical practice: Impact of using prediction rules to make decisions. *Ann Intern Med*. 2006;144(3):201-209. doi:10.7326/0003-4819-144-3-200602070-00009
94. Craig P, Dieppe P, Macintyre S, Michie S, Nazareth I, Petticrew M. Developing and evaluating complex interventions: the new Medical Research Council guidance. *BMJ*. 2008;337:a1655. doi:10.1136/bmj.a1655
95. Hoddinott P. A new era for intervention development studies. *Pilot Feasibility Stud*. 2015;1(1):36. doi:10.1186/s40814-015-0032-0
96. Bleijenberg N, de Man-van Ginkel JM, Trappenburg JCA, et al. Increasing value and reducing waste by optimizing the development of complex interventions: Enriching the development phase of the Medical Research Council (MRC) Framework. *Int J Nurs Stud*. 2018;79:86-93. doi:10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2017.12.001
97. Williamson PR, Altman DG, Blazeby JM, et al. Developing core outcome sets for clinical

*This in-press manuscript has been peer reviewed and accepted for publication by the International Journal of MS Care and appears here in a pre-edited form, with obvious typographical errors corrected but before full editing and final author approval. Additional corrections and changes will appear in the article when it is published in a future print issue. Once published in an issue, it will be removed from the Online First section and appear in that issue's table of contents. Meanwhile, the manuscript is citable using the DOI, which appears on the first page.*

- trials: issues to consider. *Trials*. 2012;13(1):132. doi:10.1186/1745-6215-13-132
98. Nyman SR, Victor CR. Older people's recruitment, sustained participation, and adherence to falls prevention interventions in institutional settings: a supplement to the Cochrane systematic review. *Age Ageing*. 2011;40(4):430-436. doi:10.1093/ageing/afr016
99. Lindy C, Caroline FF, Keith DH, Gill L. Fall Prevention in Australia: Policies and Activities. *Clin Geriatr Med*. 2010;26(4):733-749.  
<http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0749069010000686?showall=true>.
100. Paul L, Renfrew L, Freeman J, et al. Web-based physiotherapy for people affected by multiple sclerosis: a single blind, randomized controlled feasibility study. *Clin Rehabil*. December 2018;026921551881708. doi:10.1177/0269215518817080
101. Gunn H, Andrade J, Paul L, et al. A self-management programme to reduce falls and improve safe mobility in people with secondary progressive MS: the BRiMS feasibility RCT. *Health Technol Assess (Rockv)*. 2019;23(27):1-166. doi:10.3310/hta23270